R.7. 17-98

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 163

1901-02

Serial Nos. 1-15.

(See file.)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

P 116(16)

Serial Nos. 1-15

M ~~Part~~ B for Dec, 1902.

SUBJECT.

Continuance of the temporary Establishment of the Deputy Amildars of the Basavanguddi and Malleswaram Extensions.

Countersigned
M. N. H.

Ordered
Dy

W D 88—GPB—50,000—21-7-18

Urgent

Recd. 1902

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Draft acc. to the Dewan's order — submitted to the Councillor before issue. [illegible]

17/8/1902

Rd. 18/3/02
Enquire
1872

Before I proceed to deal with the paper may I request the Secretary to be good enough to explain why he sent the reference up to the Dewan with placing it before me with the

2 clerks on Rs. 15 each	30 —
3 Peons on Rs. 7 each	21 —
Hire contingencies	3 —
	54 —

first instance

The matter was con-

Correspondence ending with letter No. 9870 of 27th January 1902 from the Resident Bangalore to Secretary stating that the temporary establishment ~~[illegible]~~ made by the Resident of the Bangalore and Kumbhakonam Stations sanctioned by the Secretary of State and consequently therefore the continuance of the ~~[illegible]~~ establishment ~~[illegible]~~ as per vacancies without limit of time until the stations are handed over to the city municipality

Order thereon.

Govt. ~~This is inexpedient~~ regret that this cannot be sanctioned.

The Special Bye Analcar must be pulled and sent to him permanent work on the 1st of April 1902 and the establishment under him ~~Sanctioned as per above scale~~ abolished from that date.
The existing establishment of the Salek and District must do their work till it is taken over by the City Municipality which should be done also as early as possible

N
17/3/02

Sent me the Secy with ref & remarks on paper Journal No 95
Dt 17/3/02

To The Bye Commr Bangalore
in Confirmation

2

sidered to fall under two heads 'financial' and 'Extensions' & the former aspect seemed to be more important. The previous orders had also been similarly dealt with. I cannot exactly say that ~~this~~ I thought of this at the time, but that seems to be the ~~only~~ explanation if the course adopted by me is wrong

27/3

Rd 27/3/02 1933

I am placed in a false position by questions connected with the Extensions which are in my charge being taken up

to the Dewan before
I have had an opportunity
of considering them.
There is a great deal
still to be done in the
department. ~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~
~~one~~. The reference which
Govt had to pass order
on was about the continuance
of the establishment
attached to the Dy Devidhar.
This was last sanctioned
up to January 1902, &
the "Dy Dr & ~~President~~
~~of the City Mt~~ asked
for its continuance
until the work connected
with the Diversion had
been completed & the
Diversion handed
over to the City Mt.
but the Dewan ~~[illegible]~~
~~probably [illegible]~~
~~the reference~~ position has ordered
the "abolition of the

3

of the abolition
of the whole establish-
ment including the
D Quittar. I am
sorry to have differed
with the Dewan on
this point. It will
be regrettable to do
away with the establish-
ment just now with
the prospect of the
Viceroy visiting Baroda
in August next. Many
further improvements
are in hand or under
shortly be ordered
& there should be no difficulty
men to supervise
them. The main
work which the D
Quittar has to do
is keeping Comm.

and allotting funds.
We should first
consult the D V as to
~~if~~ what progress
the work has made
and ~~if~~ when he expects
to be able to dispense
with the D Dewan
& his establishment
before ~~he~~ we pass
orders regarding
the abolition of the
whole establishment.

Mysore
28th March 1902

Submitted to the Dewan.

29/3/1902

The sanction was till
the end of June and by the effluxion
of time the sanction
has come to an end —
Officers continuing it
beyond the time
will be rendering
themselves personally
liable for the expenditure
I do not know what
connection this has
with the Viceroy's
visit. The existence
of the Imperial Municipal
establishment as in Mysore
may be utilized
on financial consi-
-derations and
as the sanction
has come to an
end the establish-
-ment ~~cannot continue~~
cannot continue
longer without further orders
~~because~~ — Any
further proposals
which the Commission
after they could may
make may be
considered hereafter
& suitable orders
passed — The Muni-
-cipality can well
undertake this work
as in Mysore — The
special establish-
ment for extension
cannot go on for ever

29.III.02

4

Re-submitted to the Devan
with the opinions of the Councillors.

16/5/02

RD 15.5.02
4521

5351
Resolution Genr

Sanction the grant
& what action
has been taken
regarding the
Municipality
Please see that
that matter is not
allowed to be over
indefinitely. This
should be attended
to at once. I
am sorry that
delay has
taken place
already with
unnecessarily

13.VI.02

?

14/6

BROWN BOVERI 90

5

Sir,

I have again considered this matter. The question involved is one of the exercising of a function and when it is over the establishment comes to an end —

~~[illegible]~~

But as the 1st Commission has recorded a difference of opinion I have no objection to this matter going before the other Commissioners

[illegible]

13. VI. 02

Submitted to [illegible] 15/6

2nd Councillor

3rd Councillor.

6

R.7 1265

MEMO OF PAPERS CIRCULATED TO COUNCILLORS FOR ...Opinion...

SUBJECT.

Whether the Establishment under the Dy Amildar of the Basavanagudi and Malleswaram Extensions should not be done away with, the time fixed for its retention having expired by the end of January 1902

By Order,

H. Manjunadayya

16/6/ 1902 Secretary.

+7

The file is incomplete. The first paper in it refers to a sanction dated 20.7.1901 - where is the release? Also the President's abbreviation from the further extension of the Establishment beyond the limit of time - David Kinnear, what opinion? Not abbreviation was issued - Let me have the file back as soon as possible in a complete form. 18/6/02

V. P. Madhava Row, Esq., C.I.E. Seen by Sir

C. Sreenivasiengar, Esq. Ad. Mysore 18.6.02

Rao Bahadur C. Madiah, Esq. 459/ 14.6.02

The Secretary

MGCPB—22-3-01—250

P.T.O.

Subd

Complete file put up. This had been put up for reference with some other file. Please see Serial no 102

for the order of 3rd Feb: 02 and Sl. no 104 for the application from the President —

88
21/8/02

The 2nd Councillor

d 1-6-02

This man intended to be a much temporary establishment for a short period. It has however been retained for several years. The file does not give one a clear & definite idea of the quantity of work already done, & still remaining to be done. The latter cannot however be much, seeing that the adjustment of accounts & the rearrangement of compensation in a few cases, are all that the Dy Financial Dar & his men have yet to get through. The temporary establishment (Mr Chatterjee & his assn) will be done away with from the 15th Novemb by which time there

except the no difficulty in completing the work referred to. The District and Talukk Establishments cannot allow of all work commenced with the subscriptions until the latter are handed over to the Municipality.

Bangalore
21/6/02

Submitted to the 3rd Councillor in accordance with the Dewan's orders dated the 13th instant

22/6/02

48 An estimate of the establishment of the Conservancy establishment maintained on account of the Town extension in the Bangalore

R 2.7.02
5004.

5672

49 Order accordingly
& also issue
a letter to the
entertainment
It is no longer
necessary to
make above
arrangements

[illegible]

3-VII-02

~~Draft accepted~~

S.S. Prepare Council Resn and also draft order [illegible]
5/7/02

Submd to the Dewan with a Council Resolution and a draft Order [illegible]
[illegible]/02

no the question yet to be dealt with, too many visitors too many ~~[illegible]~~ too entertaining to receive it. His Highness Prince Wales would more relished if they commencing Delhi can commence lady after leaving the Durbar Guards and Muller Maidan entertainment until they are absent changes of the Durbar expenditure to which they must be attached reasons as later.

[illegible]

30/6

Submd to the Dewan with refer to his orders dated 13th June per
[illegible] 1/7/02

8

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Extract from the Journal of the Proc of the Government of Mysore.

By Circulation.
Dated Bangalore, the 7th July 1902.

Resolved:-

That the temporary establishment attached to the Basavanagudi & Challeswaram Extensions, Bangalore City, consisting of a Deputy Amildar on Rs. 100/-, a clerk on Rs. 30/-, two Mulsaddis on Rs. 8/- each and four peons on Rs. 6/- each, which was allowed to be kept only till the end of January 1902, being no longer necessary, be dispensed with from the 15th July 1902, and that the further work, if any, connected with the said extensions be carried on by the Taluk and District Establishments till they are taken charge of by the City Municipality, as already ordered.

sd/- P. N. Krishna Murti
Dewan - President.

To the Revenue Department.

Offg Secy

9th July 1902

Submitted in continuation

50 The above order was communicated to the Engineer of Bangalore with the Govt. No. 2860-1 dt. 10-7-02.

On this the Engineer reports as follows:-

No. 420 of 11-7-02.

51 "The work connected therewith including the two extensions are at present in a very incomplete state & it will take some more time before anything could be said definitely as to its completeness or otherwise. Besides, the heavy sums advanced therefor have not as yet been adjusted, but the accounts called for by Govt. in Ofs No. 2886-9 of the 28th June last have been prepared & submitted.

"The keeping of accounts regarding the disposal of sites and the recovery of the value thereof already sold and of the persons who have received advances, but have died before fully adjusting the amounts received by them, the adoption of cases into accounts

therefore the work connected with the settlement of claims for compensation for lands already taken possession of by the P.W.D., giving necessary instructions for conducting the land acquisition cases before the civil courts are so very great that they cannot not be done without the present establishment who are thoroughly conversant with this details.

"It will not be out of place here to mention that work connected with the improvements was so far looked after by two Dy. Assistants & a departmental P.W. officer, one of them is dead & the P.W.D. officer was taken back by that dept. Consequently the entire work devolved upon the present Dy. Asst. Kalavinagar who performs it without any additional remuneration whatever. When the present establishment is done away with, the work will be in a state of confusion and unmanageable".

Sundar.

under the circumstances the Bylaws recommend that the present establishment may be continued until it is formally taken over by the city municipality.

Submitted to the Secretary for orders.

15/7/02

Note
The Bylaws referred to need not have been quoted. A brief abstract would suffice.
15/7/02

Subst. to the Dewan

The special establishment was recently ordered to be abolished, by a Council order.

15/7/02

Recd 15.7.02 5205

15/7/02

5-2. 6007 I regret the circumstances have come to pass. Monday Conference get over with the Ex & Exists of running of the municipalities without accepting affairs which concern

16.7.02

17/7/02

17.7.02

Office note continued

LB ~~with the above order was~~
Communicated to the
Commr of Bangalore &c. No. 163-4 of 19.7.02.

The Commr reports that
he has issued orders to
the Asst Commr. Kalavidupura
to hand over the papers
to the Amildar of the
Bangalore Taluk & that
Considering the Variety
& heavy nature of the
work to be done, he distributed
~~the work~~ it as follows:- No 112 of 28.7.02

1) Asst Commr Bangalore &c. } The acquisition of lands in the city & Selections, Valuation of Houses, Disposal of Compensation &c.

2) Vice President - Conservancy & lighting in the Extensions

3) Amildar Bangalore Taluk } Disposal of applications for building sites in the Extensions, recovery of the value of sites &c.

The Commr. Calculates
Lucution for the selection
-ment.

ment of one temporary
hand with 15/- per mensem
under the auspices of the
Bangalore Taluk for
keeping Registers &c.
connected with this
additional work, on
the ground that the
Auditors cannot spare
his gumastas to perform
this additional work
satisfactorily, as they
are already overworked

Submitted to the Secretary
for orders
25/8/02 H
25/8/02

54 At what stage is the proposal
to incorporate these extensions
with the City Municipality?
Put up file also please.
26/8 H
H
25/8/02

Secy.

The file (L.D. 23 of 1902) is put up — Reply
one from the Dy Comr! — Relevant sheet
Submitted to the Secy
for orders
27/8. H
27/8/02

55 — Please put up a draft for 6 months
sanctioning this, and call-

ing for ~~proposals~~ early reply about amalgamation with the Municipality and submit to the Dewan, ~~as I am not sure that this is other~~ through the 1st Councillor.

R. 28/8/02
403

28/8/1902
1'38

This is a municipal matter & should go through the 1st Councillor - as regards the appointment of a gumastah to take charge of the records. I consider the arrangement inadequate and will only benefit some hungry "remedwar" wanting for an appointment in the taluk Cutcherry. I have my own opinion about the arrangements necessary for the proper working of the "Extensions", but I am not now called upon to give an opinion on this question. It may be noted that the "Extension Establishment" was abolished during my absence on duty in

Bombay
30/8/1902

As the extensions have not yet been amalgamated with the municipality, I ~~thought it should be~~ considered it as referring to the Rev. Dept.

31/8

To the Dewan.

6738

It would have ~~been~~ come up in the II^d Council but having delay somehow or other conveyed for a period of 3 months when which the extension of the municipality added onto the when the 1st Council found that the matter did not concern him his other remarks are out of place

1.IX.02

2/9

R.D. Rigsom 1.9.02 5777

57

Draft 2/9/02

88 (511) 7C 1063. (92) 1801/02

From

The Dy Commissioner (103)
Bangalore District

To

The Secy to the
Govt of Mysore
Hey Genl Depts

Dated
Despatched 8th June February 1902
Received

General No.
D No. 908.
Enclosure No.

Submitted to the Secy for orders
21/2/02. There is now no time for both the extensions. The Govt may be requested to state if the full strength of the Mil is necessary or any reduction is permissible —
21/2/02
Yes —
22/2
Rep's
22/2

Govt Pro: No 7C 1111-12 / R7 18-93 of the 3rd February 1902.

Requesting to obtain the orders of Govt for the continuance of the temporary establishment of Dy Builders of the Basavangudi and Malleswaram extensions until they are handed over to the City Municipality.

7C
11.2.02

Recd 18/2/02

Recd 18/2/02

D No 2908.

Bangalore District
Deputy Commissioner's office
Bangalore 8th February 1902.

From, M. S. Narayan Rao Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To,
H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire,
Secretary,
to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. $\frac{FL.1111-12}{R.7.18-93}$ dated the 3rd February 1902, according sanction for the continuance of the temporary Establishment of Deputy Amildars of the Basavangudi and Malleswaram Extensions I have the honor to state that the period of three months

months therein allowed has already expired before the receipt of the above order that is to say the term has expired by the end of January last. I therefore request the favour of your obtaining orders of Government for the continuance of the establishment without limit of time until the extensions are handed over to the City Municipality.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Sl. 67 To

Sl. 2 appl c. p.

93 104

The Hy Commrs.
Bangalore

(Sl 2). No. 7L 1217 & 25/3/02 &
A.7-18-98

Ref. to their No. 1908 of
8th January 1902 & to their
letter referred to in Govt.
~~Order~~ orders No. 1111-12 / 8318-93 of
3rd January 1902, that the
fixed for the term of the temporary
Establishment under the
Hy. Auditor & the Bangalore
Guardians & Sanitary Inspector
the Govt. of Madras are
and requesting therefore
the Continuance of the
said Establishment without limit of
time until the Sanitary
are handed over to the
City Municipality

With reference

If this alone has the desired ~~effect~~ ~~that~~ inquire ~~the Commander will be~~ ~~gross Strength be reduced~~ if the full strength of the Establishment sanctioned in the above Pper: is still necessary or any reduction is possible, for there is only one Transport for both the Stations.

Bymoles

[illegible]

for Secy

R 3/4 C 4

1901 / 02

(94)

(105)

From The Dy Commissioner Bangalore District

To The Secy to the Govt of Mysore Rev: and Ecnl Depts.

Dated

Despatched 28/2/02 February 1902

Received

General No.

No. 987

Enclosure No.

Secy's docket No. Fl 1219 / R 718-98 of the 25th February 1902.

States that certain establishment as particularized is necessary and may be sanctioned in the Dy Asst & that it will result in a saving of Rs 16/ per mensem.

Issue at once

15/3

15/3/02 (Sd 3)

Submitted to the Secy for orders.

7/3/02 7/3/02

This proposal may be sanctioned.

The Consr — vacancy Estts has been sanctioned till the end of June already by separate order.

Submitted to the Dewan.

11/3/1902

4050

3758 / 12.3.02

This is impossible. The Supl Dy Asst may be retained & sent to Nidhavanamantwadi on the 7th of April & that establishment abolished from that date. The existing staff of the Taluq & Asst must do this work till it is taken over by the municipality which should be done also as soon as given the information by the Dy Commr. Place above letter. 12.III.02

D.O. 2987

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 27th February 1902.

From,

M. S. Narayana Rao Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire,
Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue and General Departments

Sir,

In reply to your docket No: 7:: 1279/R.7.18-98 of the 25th Instant enquiring if the full strength of the establishment sanctioned in Government Order No: 1111-12/R.7.18-93 dated 3rd February 1902 is still necessary or any reduction is possible I have the honor to suggest that the marginally noted establishment which is very necessary may be sanctioned for the Deputy Amildar and this will result

F. Y.
2 Clerks on Rs 15/- each — 30 — 0 — 0
3 Peons on Rs 7/- each — 21 — 0 — 0
Office contingency — 3 — 0 — 0
54 — 0 — 0

DRA 987

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 27th February 1902.

From,

M. S. Narayan Rao Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To,

H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire,
Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue and General Departments

Sir,

In reply to your docket No: 7: 12/19 R.7.18-98 of the 25th Instant enquiring if the full strength of the establishment sanctioned in Government Order No: 1111-12/R.7.18-93 dated 3rd February 1902 is still necessary or any reduction is possible to Mane the Honor to suggest that the marginally noted establishment which is very necessary may be sanctioned for the Deputy Amildar and this will result

P.T.
2 Clerks on Rs 15/- each — 30 — 0 — 0
3 Peons on Rs 7/- each — 21 — 0 — 0
Office contingency — 3 — 0 — 0
54 — 0 — 0

result in a saving of Rs 16 per month.

2. The present proposal if approved will be given effect to from the 1st Instant.

3. As per sanction scale four peons have been entertained, but the services of one of them will be dispensed with from the 1st proximo.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

(514) 91 ~~110~~

Read:—

Correspondence ending with letter no. 987 dated 27th February 1902 from the Dy Commr., Bangalore District, requesting that the term fixed for the retention of the temporary Establishment of the Dy Amildar attached to the Basavanagudi and Malleswaram Extensions, Bangalore City, having expired by the end of January 1902, sanction be accorded to the continuance of a reduced Establishment costing Rs. 54 per men. Sem till the extensions are taken over by the City Municipality.

Also:- Subsequent reminders ending with no. 1418 & 14 June '02 from the same officer, requesting early orders in the matter, as the members of the Estt. have not drawn their

Submit to the Dewan
6/7/02

Sir
8/7/02

Recd 9/7/02
Issue

pay for over four months.

Order thereon :— No. 7 60-1 / R.718-98 / 10/7/02 10

The temporary Establishment attached to the Extensions, consisting of a Dy Amildar on Rs. 100/-, a clerk on Rs. 30/-, two Mutaddis on Rs. 8 each and four peons on Rs. 6 each, being no longer necessary, will be dispensed with from the 15th July 1902; and the further work, if any, connected with the Extensions ~~(including their maintenance)~~ should be carried on by the Taluk and District Establishments till they are taken charge of by the City Municipality.

7.VII.02

8/7/02

Comml Reg^{tr}

Offg Secy

To. The Dy Commr Bangalore &c
" Comptr, Mysore &c

(54½) (98)

DEWAN'S OFFICE 1898-99 1902-03

FROM The Dy Commissioner Bangalore District

To The Secy to the Govt of Mysore Revenue and Genl Depts

Dated 11th Nov: and

Despatched 12th } July 1902

Received

General No.

D No.

Enclosure No.

Govt Prc: at. No. 7.60-1 / R.718.98 of the 10th Instant.

Requesting sanction of Govt for the continuance of the establishment of the Dy Asst in charge of the city improvements as the extensions are at present in a very incomplete

S:-

R 1417 - Urgent

Ret 1417/02

D.K. 14/7

15/7.

Office note-continued

15/7.

15/7/02

S. T. No 2

Bangalore District
by Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 11th July 1902

From,

H. H. Sparkes Esq.
by Commissioner
Bangalore District

To,

L Ananta sami Rao Esq., BA
Offg Secy to the Govt
of My Sov
Rev: and Genl Depts

Sir

With reference to Government Proceedings No. H 60-1 / R 718-98 of the 10th Instant ordering to dispense with the Services of the establishment maintained for

for the city improvements from the 15th

Current, I have the honor to state

that, the work connected therewith in-

-cluding the two extensions are at

present in a very incomplete state

and it will take some more time before

anything could be said definitely as to

its completeness or otherwise. Besides,

the heavy sums advanced therefor have

not as yet been adjusted nor the

accounts called for by Government in

their Proceedings No. $\frac{2066-9}{H}$ of 28th June

last been prepared and rendered

2. The keeping of accounts regarding the disposal of sites and the recovery of the value thereof already sold and of the persons who have received advances but since dead before fully adjusting the amounts received by them, the adoption of coercive measures therefor, the work connected with the settlement of claims for compensation for lands already taken possession of by the Department of Public Works, giving necessary instructions for conducting the land acquisition cases before the Civil Courts, &c, are so very great that they

could

Could not be done without the present establishment who are thoroughly conversant with their details.

3. It will not be out of place here to mention that the work connected with the improvements was first looked after by two Deputy Amildars and a departmental public Works Officer. One of them is dead and the Public Works Department Officer was taken back by that department; consequently, the entire work devolved upon the present Amildar Kesavaingar who performs it

it without any additional remuneration whatever. If even the present establishment is done away with, the work will be in a state of confusion and unmanageable.

4. Under these circumstances, I request that the present establishment may be ordered to be continued until it is formally taken over by the City Municipality.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

[illegible] Sparks

by Commissioner

Bangalore District

(516) Draft a, in reply to

99

Read —

Letter No. 420 of 11th July 1902 from the By Comm. Bangalore dt. requesting sanction for the continuance of the establishment maintained for the city improvements on the ground that the works connected therewith in extensions are at present in a very incomplete state.

— closing the

order thereon.

No. R. 163-4 of 19/7/02
in R. 7 18-98

19

Govt. regret that the recommendation of the By Comm. cannot be sanctioned. The orders passed in Govt. proc. No. R/80-1 07 dt. 1st July 02 must be given effect to at once.

The Bylaws must get on with the existing establishments of himself & of the Municipality without allowing affairs to fall to confusion.

N
18/7/02
for Leis

To
The Bylaws Bangalore
& magistrates.

(517) 7/156 30-7-02 (100)

From The Dy Commissioner Bangalore District

To The Secy to the Govt of Mysore Rev: Judl Depts

Dated 28th
Despatched 29th } July 1902.
Received

(43)

General No.
D No.

Enclosure No.

Govt Pro: No. H 163-4 / R 7 18-98 of the 19th July 1902.

States that C Kesaviengar by Amr of the City Improvements was asked to hand over the papers to the Amildar of the Bangalore Taluk and requests Sanction to the entertainment of a hand on Rs 15/ a month to do the work in the Taluk office

Bangalore District
Dy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 28th July 1902

From,

H. H. Sparkes Esqr
Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To,

H. V. Nanjundayya Esqr MA LL
Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Rev: Judl Depts

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No $\frac{H\ 163-4}{R\ 718-98}$ of the 19th Instant, declining to sanction the continuance of the Establishment maintained for the City Improvements, I have the honor to state that, I have issued

orders

orders to the Deputy Amildar C. Kesava Iyengar to hand over the papers to the Amildar of the Bangalore Taluk. But considering the variety and heavy nature of the work to be done, I distributed it as follows:—

1) Assistant Commissioner, Bangalore Division
   The acquisition of lands in the city and extensions, valuation of houses, the payment of compensation, &c.

2) Vice President, Bangalore City
   Conservancy and lighting in the extensions

3 Amildar, Bangalore Taluk
   Disposal of applications for building sites in the extensions recovery of the value of sites in

in the Two Extensions, viz:

The above two officers will manage the work with their present establishment, but the third (Amildar Bangalore Taluk) Can not spare his gumastas to perform this additional work satisfactorily as they are already overworked with the heavy and increasing work of the day especially at this Head Quater Taluk. The following registers have to be maintained by the Amildar which make one hand fully engaged during the whole day.

1) A roj kirdhi - Day book
2) Two ledgers
3) Dharkhast register and
4) other Correspondences.

I therefore solicit

the Sanction of Government for the entertainment of one temporary hand on Rs 15/ per mensem for this work in the Bangalore Taluk.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

(56) Aff. for a.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

(103)

415

To

The Deputy Commr
Bangalore

No 75 336
R.718-98

Dt. 28/8/02

Has the honour to request that the Deputy Commr will be good enough to make suitable arrangements for verifying the figures under all heads of expenditure in the Kathabunaare & Kabavanguri extensions and the city improvement and submit at a very early date the joint ~~complete~~ report called for in Govt order No 2066-90 dt 28th June 1902, as the Commissioner in his letter No. 5680/28th July 1902 reports that the Dy. Amildar who was in charge of the extensions & city improvement and who was deputed to verify the figures has ceased to attend his office from the 21st July 1902 and consequently the complete report called for cannot be submitted.

By order

Dy Sec

26/8/02 25/8/02

26/8

(S19) No 288

(104)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
G.O. No.
AUG 30 1902

From The Dy Commissioner Bangalore District

To The Secy to the Govt of Mysore Rev: and Land Depts

Dated 29th
Despatched 30th } August 1902

Received

General No. 116

D No.

Enclosure No.

Secy's letter No. H 33 of R 7 18-98

The 28th August 1902

Requesting that the services of C. Kesavaiengar may be retained till the Consolidated report called for by Govt

6974 Clerk
All the Dy Comr
Wplease give effect
to orders already
passed —
7. IX 02

8/9/02

DK
Draft
28/9/02
Dr 9/9
DH-Fulks 9/9

Dir
Sub in the rlps above
30.8.02
Sub to with rlpes
11.2.02

D. V.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore District
Bangalore 29th. August 1902

From

H. H. Sparkes Esqre
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

A. V. Vanjunda Ayya Esqre. M.A., M.L.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir

With reference to your docket No. 336 of the 28th. Instant, I have the honor to state that, C. Kesaviengar, Deputy Amildar, though ceases to attend this office was verifying the figures connected with the City Improvements, &c., in the Comptroller's Office. I request therefore that the services of C. Kesaviengar may be retained till the consolidated report called for by Government is completed, as he is the only man who is cognisant of the work that has been carried out in the City and the Extensions.

R.F. 18-98

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant,
H. H. Sparkes
Deputy Commissioner

(5110) (105) (114)

Read —

Letter No 1112 of 28th July 02 from the Commr Bangalore to the Statistical with reference to Govt orders No. 5P 163-4 of 19th July 02 that he has issued orders to the Tahsildar Kalavirugere to hand over the papers connected with the Kalavanguli & Kolahalu Sx tenures & city Superintendent to the Tahsildar of the Bangalore Taluk and requesting sanction to the entertainment of a temporary hand on Rs 15/- per mensem under the Tahsildar of the Bangalore Taluk for keeping registers &c. connected with the additional work.

N 381-2
R. 7.18-98 dt 6/9/02

Order thereon,

The entertainment ~~[illegible]~~ of a temporary hand on Rs 15/- per mensem under the Tahsildar of the Bangalore Taluk is sanctioned for a period of three months within which the Sx tenures referred to above shall be added on to the Bangalore

Municipality

For 4/9/02

To the Commr Bangalore.
& Cantonment Magistrate

After issue this has been submitted to the 2d Counsellor
Mr Lees
LBBB for
note & return

(Sl 11) (106) 7/8

Rent — D

Correspondence

Ending with letter No. 211 D/Dgt. August 1902, from the Dy Commr., Bangalore, requesting that the services of Deputy Anilkar Kalavingal may be retained till the Consolidated proposals called for in Govt. orders No. Fl 613-5 D/7.11.01 & Fl 266-9 D/28-1-02. is completed. ~~as he is the only man who is cognisant of the work that has been carried out in the city & St. Lawrence~~

Fl. 409-10 D/10.9.02 — order thereon.

The Dy Commr. is requested to give effect to orders already passed (vide Govt. Pro No Fl 60-1 D/10.7.02) Fl No 163-4 D/18.9.98 & 19.7.02 & Fl No 336 D/28.8.02 R.7 18.98

VL 9/9/02

Pr Secy

To The Dy Commr Bangalore & Commissioner, Mysore for

5112 (107)

1902-03. (119)

FROM The Dy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to the Govt. Mysore

Dated 6th Oct. 1902.

Despatched

Received

REVENUE DEPARTMENT No. 1328 Govt. Tower. Dt. 7th October 1902. NEW OFFICE

General No.

D No. 345

Enclosure No. 1

This office letter No. 211 of the 29th August/02.

Requesting sanction to the retention of C. Kesavaiengar, Dr Amildar, up to the end of September/02 & soliciting orders as to the further disposal of his case.

Dept

Reply further

22/10/02

Recd with thanks

24/10

Bangalore District.

D No. 345
Sr. 1

Deputy Commissioner's Office.
Bangalore 6th October 1902.

From,

H. H. Spartes Esq:
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To,

H. V. Nanjundayya Esq: M.A.M.L.
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments,

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 211 of the 29th August last, requesting sanction to retain the services of C. Hesaviengar, Deputy Amildar to verify the figures required for the submission of the joint report called for by Government, I have the honor to state that Hesaviengar was directed to attend the Comptroller's Office in connection therewith on the 1st August/02, and before the receipt of Government Proceedings No. 409-10/7 of the 10th Ultimo. Accordingly, he returned after verifying the figures in the Comptroller's Office on the 27th Idem. (vide copy of

Comptroller's docket No. 1733/284 of the 26th September 1902 enclosed). The report was transmitted to the Comptroller on the 29th September last, to be submitted to Government. I therefore request the sanction of Government for his retention, as a special case, till the end of last month up to which date he was actually working and has to be paid as temporary Deputy Amildar, as without him the figures could not have been verified, as already reported in this Office letter quoted above.

2. As to the further disposal of his case, I submit, herewith, for the orders of Government, an application presented by him this day.

Not received 8/10/02 Hrd. since res and publ.

3. Before Kesavienyar was taken to the Bangalore City as Sub Protem Deputy Amildar, he was the permanent Taluk Sheristadar of Chennapatna to which G. Narayana Rao, Head Accountant of this District Treasury was permanently recommended by my predecessor, Mr. M.S. Narayana Rao,

and the same sanctioned by Govern-
-ment in their Proceedings No. 3997-9
of the 12th March 1902.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

H H Parkes
Dy Commissioner

hmm

1902/03

Letter

From
E.R. Sulbroyer Esq
Comptroller
Mysore Government

To
H. H. Sparkes Esq.
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Dated 26th September 1902.

No 1733
284

Has the honor to intimate that Deputy Amildar Nesaviengar who has been deputed to this Office to verify the accounts in connection with the City Improvement, Basavanguddy and Mallesvaram Extensions having completed his task this day, has been directed to report himself for duty to the Deputy Commissioner.

(Signed) E.R. Sulbroyer
Comptroller.

"Copy

H H Sparkes
Deputy Commissioner

To H. H. Siparkes Esquire Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District.

Most respected Sir.

With due respect & submission I beg to bring the following for your honours kind consideration and favorable order:—

I was the permanent 1st class Sheristadar of Chennapatna Taluq. In the year 1898 my services were drafted to Bangalore for special duty of acquiring lands, houses &c & was working in connection with the Bangalore city improvements works as Deputy Amildar till the government abolished the appointment in 1902. In the meantime I submitted a memorial to Government on 26.7.02 through your honour praying that my pitiable case might be given a favorable consideration & that I might be provided with the post of a Dy Amildar in the arrangement that had to take place in retiring some of the Amildars. After handing over charge of my office to the Amildar of Bangalore, I was deputed to the Comptroller's office to prepare a joint report of the expenses incurred

incurred on the above works. I completed this work & was relieved there on the 27th September 1902. I thereupon reported to your honour for duty & I am waiting for orders. I now take the liberty of approaching your honour with the humble request that I may be recommended for a Deputy Amildarship or any other suitable appointment, in consideration of my long service as a Taluq Sheristadar for 18 years & as Dy Amildar for about 4 years and also in consideration of my having acted as Amildar on many occasions.

I further beg to add that I have not been able to draw my pay for the last three months and that in consequence I have been feeling great difficulty in maintaining my large family. Therefore I pray that definite orders may be passed as regards the drawing of my pay at your honours earliest convenience.

Awaiting your honours favourable orders.

I respectfully beg to remain
Sir
Your Honours most obedient
and humble Servant
C. Keshavaiyangar

Bangalore
4th October 02

(SB)

Read:

1) Correspondence ending with letter No. 345 D/8-10-02 from the Dy. Commr. Bangalore Dt. requesting sanction to the petition of C. Kadirappa, Dy. Insp. of Schools for a special increment of 1902 & soliciting orders as to the further disposal of this case.

2) Letter No. 3520 D/7th October 1902 from the same officer, requesting the grant of an additional sum of Rs. 5000/- to pay off the present claimants of persons whose lands have been acquired for the Bangalore Water Works & the city improvement.

Order thereon.

In Pro No. 60-1/R218-98 of 10-9-02 the temporary establishment attached to the Sanitary Commissioner consisting of a Dy. Inspector on Rs. 100/-, a clerk on Rs. 30/-

No. 7-736-5 / R.218-98 — 27/11/02

two Kavadis on Rs. 8/- each
& four peons on Rs. 6/- each
was ordered to be dispensed
with from 15th July 1902.
The Dy. Commr. now reports
that Kadavigar Bhatta
was directed to attend
the Comptroller's office
for verifying the figures
under all heads of
expenditure in connection
with the ~~Dodda Ulsoor~~
~~& Badavanagar~~ extensions
& the city improvement
as required in Govt.
order No. 2066-9 D/29.6.02
& that he was working
~~in the Comptroller's office~~ there
accordingly and requests that
his retention as a special
case till the end of
September 1902. may be sanctioned.
As Kavadigar has
been kept on till the
end of September, he
must be paid, as Dy. Comr. asks the proposal is
accordingly sanctioned. entirely the observation that the Dy. Comr. was wrong in not dispensing with the services of Kavadigar above as ordered by Govt.

1) The Dy. Commr. further
adds that before Kadavigar
was taken to the Bangalore
city as Subsection Bhatta,
he was the permanent
Dalavai Shanbhogan

of Chamarajpet, which place was permanently given to Sri. Narayanappa Head acct. of the Bangalore Dt. Treasury office as approved of by Govt. order No. 3997-9 of 12.3.02.

3 The order quoted was based on the Dy. Commr's recommendation contained in his letter No. 974 of 25th Feb. 1902, wherein it was stated that two first class Amildars & two second class Shiristadars were permanently vacant, no mention whatever of Kesavirugar having been made. The Dy. Commr is requested to state how Kesavirugar's permanent place was recommended to be given away to another before the former was confirmed as Dy. Amr.

(B) Unless Kesavirugar, who is more than 55 years of age, is recommended to be retained, he must be

~~Pl.~~ Till the question whether Kesaviengar should be kept on in service can be decided, the Dy. C—

provided with suitable place. If no Dy. Assistant can be found for him, he may be kept on as a Supernumerary the next Vacancy of 60 or more being given him if no such Vacancy already exists.

The Dy Comr should provide him with any place that may be vacant permanently or temporarily in his District on his permanent salary of 60 Rs. ~~If no such place is immediately available, he may be treated as a supernumerary Offr on 60 Rs.~~

6/4) Before a further grant can be made, a detailed report of the new awards made shewing the extent and description of the lands &c. as well as the compensation totals for each area thereof together with the purpose for which such lands were acquired should be submitted by the Dy Comr. It is hardly to be expected that Govt would sanction an expenditure of 50000 Rs without being supplied with detailed information.

Nd 31/10/02

31/10 Secy

Issue 31/11

31/10/02

To the Dy Comr Bangalore
" Commissioner, Mysore Govt.

31/10/02

(5149) (113)

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT
No. 771
18902 | 03

B

From The Dy Commissioner Bangalore District

To The Secy to the Govt of Mysore Rev: Hul Dept

Dated 27th
Despatched 28th } Nov 1902
Received

General No.
D No. 567

Enclosure No. One.

Govt Pro: No 7345 / R18-98 of 7th Nov 1902.

Submits explanation on the subject of the permanent appointment of Y Kesavayya temporary Dy Amildar

Note
29.11.02

D No. 567
Sub: ane.

Bangalore District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 27th December 1902

From

H. H. Sparkes Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

H. V. Ranjmudayya Esq Mahs
Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Rev: Revl Depts

Sir

With reference to para 4 of Government Proceedings No. 734-5 / R 18-98 of the 4th Instant, regarding the permanent appointment of C. Kesavingar, Temporary Deputy Tahildar, I have the honor to forward, herewith the explanation of

of my predecessor on the Subject.

2. The Revenue Commissioner has been addressed, regarding Kesavengar being provided with a 1st class Taluk Sheristedar's place on Rs 60/-

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

[illegible]

Dy Commissioner

Mey

No. 703
1 - File

Received 14

R. 799 S. 02 03
Deputy Commissioner's office
Tumkur District
20th/22 November 1902.

From M. S. Narayana Rao Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To, H. H. Sparkes Esq.
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Forwarding the Govt. in continuation of this office letter bearing upon the subject

H H Sparkes
25/11/02

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 712 dated 17th November 1902, forwarding copy of Government Proceedings No. 734-5 / R. F. 18-98 of the 7th instant, regarding the permanent appointment of Kesavaiengar temporary Deputy Amildar, I have the honor to state that my proposal was that the man recommended for the place of the Sheristedar of Doddaballapur should be a sub pro tem Sheristedar and not a permanent one, although the Sheristedar of that Taluk had retired on pension and it was a permanent vacancy, and this was because I intended that Kesaviengar should have a lien on that appointment. The promotion of the Kankanhalli Sheristedar to the 1st class was also for the same reason recommended to be a sub pro tem one. The fact of Kesaviengar's having

having a lien on a 1st class Sheristedari and on that post in the Doddaballapur Taluk ought to have been mentioned in the report but was probably not done through an oversight. It will however, now be clear that a permanent place was reserved for Kesaviengar in case his place as Deputy Amildar of the Bangalore City happened to be abolished.

2. The enclosures to your letter are returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedient servant.

Kesaviengar
For Deputy Commissioner

L.J.

5115 (114)

THE DEPARTMENT OF ... OFFICE
GL. No. 7672
1903

FROM The Dy Commr ~~Bangalore~~
Bangalore District

TO The Secy to the Govt
of Mysore
Rev: and Genl Depts

Dated 9th
Despatched 10th } Dec 1902
Received

General No.
D No. 616.

Enclosure No.

Govt Prov: No 381-2
R7 18-98 of 6th September 1902.

Requesting that the term of the temporary clerk sanctioned in connection with the work of the extensions of Basavangudi and Malleswaram may be extended to a further period of six months.

6

11.12.02

15/12

18/12

Bangalore District.
Dy Commissioner's Office.

D. No. 616. Bangalore 9th December 1902.

From,
H. H. Sparkes Esq:
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To,
H. V. Nanjundaiyya Esq M.A. M.L.
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments,

Sir,

With reference to the Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore No. 381-2 R.F. 18-98, dated 6th September 1902, directing that the extensions of Basavangudi and Malleswaram be added on the Bangalore City Municipality before the term of appointment of a temporary Clerk on Rs 15/- per mensem expired i.e. the 19 Instant, I have the honor to report that the City Municipality have declined to take over the extensions and that the question is pending before the Government. Awards

in twenty cases have still to be passed and payments to claimants in pursuance of awards already made have to be made. It being not possible to conduct the clerical work without a special clerk, I have the honor to request that the term of the temporary clerk sanctioned in the Proceedings referred to, may be extended to a further period of six months within which time, I respect the questions now raised will be finally solved.

2. The term of three months now sanctioned expires on the 19th Instant. I therefore beg to continue the services of the clerk in anticipation of the sanction of Government.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

H H Sparks
Deputy Commissioner.

Mdy